

Marine IL Peer Review

Pre-workshop Project summary and strategy background

Please answer these questions so your reviewers can gain a deeper familiarity with your project and provide initial comments to you before the workshop. Include only as much detail as needed to understand the strategies you've selected. Responses should be no longer than 5 pages text in total (not including map and diagrams).

1. Why is TNC's engagement critical for this seascape and what fundamental outcomes do you hope to achieve?
 - a. Provide a thumbnail history of the team, when you began work as a landscape team, provide excerpts from team charter if relevant.
 - b. Include bulleted list of conservation targets.
 - c. Attach a project map that shows location and geographic scope of project.
 - d. Include a bulleted list of the strategies associated with this project including those that will not be discussed further below or at the workshop.
2. What primary threats need to be abated or problems need to be solved in order to achieve the intended outcomes of these strategies?
 - a. Describe the situation(s) in which the identified threats or problems operate, including the key drivers, obstacles and opportunities, stakeholders. in narrative [Very high level, for IL as a whole]
 - b. Limit to 3 or fewer paragraphs (i.e. just the KEY features of the above components).
3. What are your two priority strategies for peer review and what are the key underlying assumptions and rationale behind each (aka "theory of change")?
 - a. State the two strategies, the targets and threats they are intended to address, why these strategies are important in your landscape/seascape and explain the "theory of change" for each in 2-4 paragraphs. Feel free to include a situation diagram if helpful to understanding.
 - b. Attach a results chain for each (or you may combine for the two strategies if they are for the same threats and situations, otherwise keep them separate for clarity)
 - c. For each theory of change, what are the 1 or 2 most important assumptions that may need to be tested, questions that need to be answered, or risks to be monitored?
4. How will you gauge progress toward achieving intended outcomes and decide how to adjust your strategies?
 - a. List the SMART (Specific, Measurable, Actionable, Results-oriented, and Time-bound) objectives and indicators that you show on your results chain, both long-term

threat reduction or target viability improvement objectives as well as intermediate strategy effectiveness measures.

5. What aspects of your strategies can move forward in the next year? In the next three years? What process will you use to modify your plan based on intermediate outcomes?
6. If a strategy involves a pilot phase or demonstration project, please explain how you will ensure the results scale up and lead to system-wide impacts.