Questions for Reviewers

Marine Systems Peer Review

Thank you for taking the time to provide constructive input to the project teams that can be incorporated into their thinking prior to the workshop. The secondary questions are intended to stimulate your thinking and response, but may not need to be addressed. Please provide suggestions to the project teams where possible.

Please include your name and the project reviewed at the top of the page.

1. Are intended outcomes and rationale for this project clear and sufficient?
    a. What's missing?
    b. What needs clarification?

2. Has the team identified the most important targets and threats relative to the strategies presented?

3.   Do the strategies described clearly address the threats or problems identified and are they likely to achieve intended conservation outcomes?
    a. Are you aware of any critical factors or key stakeholders the team appears to have missed?
    b. Do the results chains seem logical given the information you have? (We'll have more time in the workshop to examine the details of the chains, so this is a high level look.)

4. If the strategy involves a pilot or demonstration stage, has the team identified how they will ensure that the pilot will scale up to or leverage more system wide impacts?

5. Are the objectives measurable, time-delimited, and sufficient?
    a. Do the theories of change seem logical, reasonable, and likely to happen? Do the objectives clearly link to the theories of change?
    b. Do the indicators clearly link to the objectives?
    c. Will the suite of objectives allow the team to determine whether the strategies are achieving what they intend, or does something seem to be missing? Are there critical exit points identified in the results chain

6. Are the initial implementation steps meaningful and will they lead to achieving the 3 year-goals?