National Heart Disease & Stroke Prevention Program



# Fundamentals of Evaluating Partnerships

### **EVALUATION GUIDE**







## Fundamentals of Evaluating Partnerships

## Acknowledgments

This guide was developed by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Division for Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention under the leadership of Susan Ladd and Jan Jernigan, working in collaboration with Tim LaPier.

State Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention Programs were invaluable in the development of this *Evaluation Guide*. We thank:

Carrie Daniels, Oklahoma State Department of Health

Susan Mormann, North Dakota Department of Health

Mario Rivera, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment

Abha Varma, North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services

Namvar Zohoori, Arkansas Department of Health

We encourage readers to adapt and share the tools and resources in the Guide in order to meet their program evaluation needs. For further information, contact the Division for Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention, Applied Research and Evaluation Branch at cdcinfo@cdc.gov or (770) 488-2560.

#### Suggested citation

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Evaluation Guide: Fundamentals of Evaluating Partnerships. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2008.

## Appendix 7: Coalition Effectiveness Inventory

The following Coalition Effectiveness Inventory provides an inventory of partnership characteristics for members to use to assess the functioning of the partnership or coalition. To use the inventory, partners should independently answer the questions and score their responses. Scores can be summarized by section and across partners to develop an improvement plan.

#### The Coalition Effectiveness Inventory (CEI)

Based on your experience, please complete the following inventory as a self-assessment tool to evaluate the strengths of your coalition and its stage of development. Using the assessment scheme on the instrument, place a check in the box that best corresponds to your rating of the particular characteristic. Based on your coalition's stage of development, you might not be able to rate each characteristic.

Following the inventory, you can summarize strengths and opportunities for improvement.

### Coalition Effectiveness Inventory (CEI)

#### Self-Assessment Tool

Name of Coalition:		Name of Rater:		
Date of Assessment:		Score:		
ASSESSMENT SCHEME: Check one choice for each characteristic				
0	Characteristic is absent			
1	Characteristic is present but limited			
2	Characteristic is present			
N/A	Characteristic not applicable at this stage of coalition			

	Assessment					
COALITION CHARACTERISTICS		1	2	N/A	Score 0-2	
I. COALITION PARTICIPANTS		•				
Lead Agency						
1. Decision-makers are committed to and supportive of coalition						
2. Commits personnel and financial resources to coalition						
3. Knowledgeable about coalitions						
4. Experienced in collaboration						
5. Replaces agency representative if vacancy occurs						
Staff						
1. Knowledgeable about coalition-building process						
2. Skillful in writing proposals and obtaining funding/resources						
3. Trains members as appropriate						
4. Competent in needs assessment and research						
5. Encourages collaboration and negotiation						
6. Communicates effectively with members						
Leaders						
1. Committed to coalition's mission						
2. Provide leadership and guidance in maintaining coalition						
3. Have appropriate time to devote to coalition						
4. Plan effectively and efficiently						
5. Knowledgeable about content area						
6. Flexible in accepting different viewpoints						
7. Demonstrate sense of humor						
8. Promote equity and collaboration among members						
9. Adept in organizational and communication skills						
10. Work within influential political and community networks						
11. Competent in negotiating, solving problems and resolving conflicts						
12. Attentive to individual member concerns						
13. Effective in managing meetings						
14. Adept in garnering resources						
15. Value members' input						
16. Recognize members for their contributions						

	Assessment					
COALITION CHARACTERISTICS	0	1	2	N/A	Score 0-2	
Members						
1. Share coalition's mission						
2. Offer variety of resources and skills						
3. Clearly understand their roles						
4. Actively plan, implement, and evaluate activities						
5. Assume lead responsibility for tasks						
6. Share workload						
7. Regularly participate in meetings and activities						
8. Communicate well with each other						
9. Feel a sense of accomplishment						
10. Seek out training opportunities						
II. COALITION STRUCTURES						
1. Bylaws/rules of operation						
2. Mission statement in writing						
3. Goals and objectives in writing						
4. Provides for regular, structured meetings						
5. Establishes effective communication mechanisms						
6. Organizational chart						
7. Written job descriptions						
8. Core planning group (e.g. steering committee)						
9. Subcommittees						
III. COALITION PROCESSES						
1. Has mechanism to make decisions, e.g., voting						
2. Has mechanism to solve problems and resolve conflicts						
3. Allocates resources fairly						
4. Employs process and impact evaluation methods						
5. Conducts annual action planning session						
6. Assures that members complete assignments in timely manner						
7. Orients new members						
8. Regularly trains new and old members						

	Assessment					
COALITION CHARACTERISTICS		1	2	N/A	Score 0-2	
Formation						
1. Permanent staff designated				ĺ		
2. Broad-based membership includes community leaders, professionals, and grass-roots organizers representing target population						
3. Designated office and meeting space						
4. Coalition structures in place						
Implementation						
1. Coalition processes in place						
2. Needs assessment conducted						
3. Strategic plan for implementation developed						
4. Strategies implemented as planned				ĺ		
Maintenance						
1. Strategies revised as necessary						
2. Financial and material resources secured						
3. Coalition broadly recognized as authority on issues it addresses						
4. Number of members maintained or increased						
5. Membership benefits outweigh costs						
6. Coalition accessible to community						
7. Accomplishments shared with members and community						
Institutionalization						
1. Coalition included in other collaborative efforts						
2. Sphere of influence includes state and private agencies and governing bodies						
3. Coalition has access to power within legislative and executive branches of agencies/government						
4. Activities incorporated within other agencies or institutions						
5. Long-term funding obtained						
6. Mission is refined to encompass other issues and populations						

Take Home Lessons from the CEI	
What stage is your coalition in now?	
In what areas does your coalition excel (i.e., in which major categories did yo	our coalition receive scores of "2")?
1.	
2.	
3.	
In what areas does your coalition need to improve (i.e., in which major categories scores of "0" or "1")?	ories did your coalition receive
1.	
2.	
3.	
What specific and feasible steps should your coalition take to address the cha	llenges identified in the question
above?	0
1	
1.	
2.	
3.	
With permission. Butterfoss, FD.(1998). Coalition Effectiveness Inventory (CEI). Norfolk, VA: E	astern Virginia Medical School. **Revised
from Butterfoss and Center for Health Promotion, South Carolina Department of Health and E Self-Assessment Tool (1994). Columbia, SC.	Invironmental Control (1994). Coalition
··· \ ··· / ··· · ···	